UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/639,442	08/13/2003	Benad Goldwasser	A-9430	5756	
20741 7590 07/06/2007 HOFFMAN WASSON & GITLER, P.C CRYSTAL CENTER 2, SUITE 522			EXAMINER		
			HOEKSTRA, JEFFREY GERBEN		
2461 SOUTH CLARK STREET ARLINGTON, VA 22202-3843			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3736		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/639,442	GOLDWASSER, BENAD
Examiner	Art Unit
Jeffrey G. Hoekstra	3736

	Jeffrey G. Hoekstra	3736					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Jeffrey G. Hoekstra</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Benjamin Fishman</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 27 June 2007.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	·]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: 1.							
Identification of prior art discussed: Choy and DeMarco.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)⊡ was not reached. h)⊠ N	//A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP ' DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS HIS				

requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant and examiner discussed proposed amendments to claim 1 reflecting the substance of the personal interview conducted 06/07/2007. Examiner indicated the proposed amendments appeared to obviate the rejection of the claim under Choy in view of DeMarco. Examiner indicated that the proposed amendments however appeared as though they would necessitate a rejection under Choy in view of Gross (WO 2004/010858 A2, submitted in IDS filed 03/23/2005 cited AK) and that upon the filing of a request for continued examination it would be in Applicant's best interest to address the admitted prior art in the amended claims.